

1 THOMAS M. PETERSON (State Bar No. 96011)  
2 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
3 One Market, Spear Street Tower  
4 San Francisco, CA 94105-1126  
5 Telephone: 415.442.1000  
6 Facsimile: 415.442.1001  
7 E-mail: tmpeterson@morganlewis.com

8 J. GORDON COONEY, JR. (PAB 42636)  
9 (*Pro Hac Vice*)

10 KRISTOFOR T. HENNING (PAB 85047)  
11 (*Pro Hac Vice*)

12 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
13 1701 Market Street  
14 Philadelphia, PA 19103  
15 Telephone: 215.963.5000  
16 Facsimile: 215.963.5001  
17 E-mail: jgcooney@morganlewis.com  
18 khenning@morganlewis.com

19 Attorneys for Defendant  
20 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
22 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

23 STEVEN SINACORI, individually and on  
24 behalf of all others similarly situated,

25 Plaintiff,

26 v.

27 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,

28 Defendant.

Case No. 5:11-cv-05779-LHK

**DEFENDANT HEWLETT-PACKARD  
COMPANY'S STATEMENT OF RECENT  
DECISION**

**Date:** September 6, 2012  
**Time:** 1:30 p.m.  
**Place:** Courtroom 8, 4th Floor  
**Before:** Hon. Lucy H. Koh

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3(d)(2), Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") submits this  
2 Statement of Recent Decision to bring to the Court's attention a recent decision in *In re: Toyota*  
3 *Motor Corp. Unintended Acceleration Mktg., Sales Practices, and Products Liab. Litig.*, No.  
4 8:10-ml-02151-JVS-FMO (C.D. Cal. May 4, 2012), a copy of which is attached in four sub-parts  
5 (Exhibits A through D) because of its size. The decision was published after HP filed its Reply in  
6 Support of its Motion to Dismiss on April 13, 2012 (*see* Dkt. No. 27) and is therefore appropriate  
7 for consideration by the Court on HP's Motion to Dismiss. *See* Civil L.R. 7-3(d)(2) ("Before the  
8 noticed hearing date, counsel may bring to the Court's attention a relevant judicial opinion  
9 published after the date the opposition or reply was filed by serving and filing a Statement of  
10 Recent Decision, containing a citation to and providing a copy of the new opinion—without  
11 argument."). The decision is relevant to HP's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's claim under New  
12 York General Business Law § 349.

13  
14 Respectfully submitted,

15 Dated: May 10, 2012

**MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP**

16  
17 By: s/Kristofor T. Henning  
Kristofor T. Henning

18 Attorneys for Defendant  
19 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28